

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Absandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/088,699	06/14/2002	Ikuo Nishimoto	082376-000000US	2315		
7590 10/03/2003			EXAMINER			
Joe Liebeschuetz			LAMBERTSO	LAMBERTSON, DAVID A		
Townsend & Townsend & Crew 8th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Two Embarcadero Center			1636			
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany		1						
		10/088,6		NISHIMOTO, IKUO				
	Office Action Summary	Examin		Art Unit				
,	The SEAN INCO DATE - EAL'S		ambertson	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 135). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	14 June 2002						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ a	pproved b) disappro	oved by the Examir	ner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413) Paper No	o(s)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,699

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-13, drawn to a method of screening the suppressive effects of a nucleic acid on a disorder that accompanies cell death.

Group II, claim(s) 1, 3-7 and 9-13, drawn to a method of screening the suppressive effects of a protein on a disorder that accompanies cell death.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of Group I is the ability to discern whether or not a nucleic acid has the ability to suppress a defect when provided to cells having a disorder associated with cell death.

The special technical feature of Group II is the ability to discern whether or not a polypeptide has the ability to suppress a defect when provided to cells having a disorder associated with cell death. This special technical feature is different from the special technical feature of Group I because of the molecule that is being administered, a nucleic acid versus a protein. Not only are nucleic acids and proteins chemically, structurally and functionally distinct (e.g., a protein is comprised of amino acids whereas a nucleic acid is comprised of nucleic acids), the methods for delivering nucleic acids to a cell are different then those of delivering proteins to a cell.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/088,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Lambertson whose telephone number is (703) 308-8365. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 4pm, Mon.-Fri., first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David A. Lambertson AU 1636 GERRY LEFFERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER